



Minutes of the Neighbourhood Plan Committee Meeting of Alderholt Parish Council held remotely at 7.00pm on 3rd March 2021.

PRESENT:

Cllr D Tooke, Chairman, Cllr A Butler, Deputy Chairman, Cllr S Butler, Cllr S Greenland, Cllr A Hibberd, Cllr G Logan, Cllr K Mason, Cllr M Smethers, Stan Broomfield, Cllr Lindsay Burtonshaw (Ellingham, Harbridge and Ibsley Parish Council), Cllr Rory Errington (Ellingham, Harbridge and Ibsley Parish Council), Charlie McEwan, Norman Jones, Robin Neave, Ros Sinkinson (until 8.00pm) Chris Walker and Tanya Wynyard.

Ms L Ellis, Parish Clerk

Due to the Covid-19 restrictions the meeting was held remotely via conference link. The Local Authorities and Police and Crime Panels (Coronavirus) (Flexibility of Local Authority and Police and Crime Panel Meetings) (England and Wales) Regulations 2020, which came into force on 4th April 2020, gives Parish & Town Councils the power to do this until May 2021.

Min No	Title	Owner
15/21	<p>WELCOME FROM THE CHAIRMAN & APOLOGIES</p> <p><i>The Chairman, Cllr Tooke welcomed all in attendance to the (remote) meeting of the Neighbourhood Plan Committee. He explained members of the public were welcome to attend meetings and would be able to speak at the meeting at the discretion of the Chairman. Instructions were provided on how the Committee should use the conversation and mute facilities.</i></p> <p>There were apologies from Cllr R Stone, Stuart Canning, Angela Connal, Gavin Fauvel and Simon Woodley.</p>	
16/21	<p>TO RECEIVE DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST AND/OR CONSIDER THE GRANTING OF DISPENSATIONS</p> <p>None received</p>	
17/21	<p>NAME CHECK FROM EACH OF THE PARTICIPANTS</p> <p>As this was a remote meeting, each participant provided a name check</p>	
18/21	<p>TO CONFIRM THE MINUTES OF THE NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN COMMITTEE</p> <p>It was agreed that the Minutes of the meeting held on 4th February 2021, were a correct record.</p>	
19/21	<p>DORSET COUNCIL – LOCAL PLAN CONSULTATION</p> <p>A report was circulated, a copy of which appears as Appendix ‘1’ to this agenda.</p> <p>Original document and the circulated update on Section 18.</p>	



Minutes of the Neighbourhood Plan Committee Meeting of Alderholt Parish Council held remotely at 7.00pm on 3rd March 2021.

	<p>The Neighbourhood Plan Committee thanked Cllr Logan for their work in drafting the detailed response to the Local Plan consultation.</p> <p>Discussion followed and included:-</p> <p>Phosphates in the Avon Valley. Fordingbridge Town Council, New Forest District Council and Hampshire County Council were concerned how development in Alderholt would affect Fordingbridge and it was agreed to expand this in the consultation response</p> <p>Ellingham, Harbridge and Ibsley Parish Council confirmed that they were holding a Planning meeting on Tuesday 9th March and requested a copy of the draft response from Alderholt Parish Council. This would enable them to support Alderholt Parish Council due to the impact on the road structure.</p> <p>It was confirmed that Councillor Logan would update the response to the Local Plan Consultation document, where necessary and would attach a copy of the Jo Witherden report</p> <p>It was proposed by Cllr S. Butler and seconded by Cllr Smethers that the response from the Neighbourhood Plan Committee be forwarded to Alderholt Parish Council for consideration. ALL IN FAVOUR</p>	
<p>20/21</p>	<p>NEXT MEETING OF THE NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN COMMITTEE</p> <p>It was agreed that the next meeting of the Neighbourhood Plan Committee would be held on 21st April 2021 at 7.00pm.</p>	

Meeting ended 8.10 pm

Minutes Approved:

Chairman's Signature:

Date:



Minutes of the Neighbourhood Plan Committee Meeting of Alderholt Parish Council held remotely at 7.00pm on 3rd March 2021.

Appendix '1'

Neighbourhood Plan Committee 3rd March 2021

Response – Dorset Council Local Plan Consultation

To agree the response to be ratified by Alderholt Parish Council to be submitted regarding the Dorset Council Local Plan Consultation January 2021.

Below are responses in blue to the questions raised in Volume 1 and specifically to development in Alderholt in Volume 2 Chapter 18 of the DC Local Plan.

The Chapter 18 response is based on that agreed by this committee on 4th February 2021, with additional comments shown in italics. Other comments submitted by the public have yet to be assimilated into this response.

Questions from <https://www.dorsetcouncil.gov.uk/planning-buildings-land/planning-policy/dorset-council-local-plan/the-plan/pdfs/dclp-jan-2021-dorsetcouncillocalplan-vol1.pdf>

Page	Question
	DEVELOPMENT STRATEGY
18	Vision and Strategic Priorities
	Do you have any comments on the Vision and Strategic Priorities
	The vision is overarching and is well supported by the Strategic Objectives, but although flood risk is highlighted, there is no mention of the ever-increasing problem of phosphates with regard to eutrophication and its ecological impact. In particular this affects Alderholt.
20	The housing requirement and the need for employment land in Dorset
	1. Do you agree with the suggested approach and what it is trying to achieve? Yes 2: Do you agree with the suggested wording? Yes 3: How could the paragraph / policy / section / chapter be amended to reflect your concerns?
28	Spatial strategy and settlement hierarchy
	1: Do the boundaries of the four functional areas reflect how the area's housing markets and economy function? Yes 2: Is the distribution of housing between and within the functional areas appropriate? Yes 3: Do you agree with the proposed hierarchy of settlements? Yes, as it promotes development where there is current infrastructure that can be expanded to meet the demand. The tier 3 and 4 levels have very limited infrastructure that isn't able to support development beyond infilling to meet local needs over the plan period. 4: Do you agree that there is a need to amend the green belt to enable development? Yes



Minutes of the Neighbourhood Plan Committee Meeting of Alderholt Parish Council held remotely at 7.00pm on 3rd March 2021.

29	Green belt review
	1: Do you agree with the suggested approach and what it is trying to achieve? Yes, as development must be sustainable and accessible and by relinquishing some small areas of Greenbelt this can be achieved at the larger tier 1 and 2 settlements. 2: Do you agree with the suggested wording? Yes 3: How could the paragraph / policy / section / chapter be amended to reflect your concerns?
32	Q: Growth in the south eastern Dorset functional area
	1: Do you agree with the suggested approach and what it is trying to achieve? Yes 2: Do you agree with the suggested wording? Yes, DEV2 policy encompasses all the preferred options outlined in the accompanying text that are achievable within the life of the Local Plan. 3: How could the paragraph / policy / section / chapter be amended to reflect your concerns?
33	Q: Growth in the central Dorset functional area
	1: Do you agree with the suggested approach and what it is trying to achieve? Yes 2: Do you agree with the suggested wording? Yes 3: How could the paragraph / policy / section / chapter be amended to reflect your concerns?
34	Q: Growth in the northern Dorset functional area
	1: Do you agree with the suggested approach and what it is trying to achieve? Yes 2: Do you agree with the suggested wording? Yes 3: How could the paragraph / policy / section / chapter be amended to reflect your concerns?
35	Q: Growth in the western Dorset functional area
	1: Do you agree with the suggested approach and what it is trying to achieve? Yes 2: Do you agree with the suggested wording? Yes 3: How could the paragraph / policy / section / chapter be amended to reflect your concerns?
37	Q: Development at villages with development boundaries in rural Dorset
	1: Do you agree with the suggested approach and what it is trying to achieve? Yes 2: Do you agree with the suggested wording? Yes 3: How could the paragraph / policy / section / chapter be amended to reflect your concerns? Dev6 policy wording should also refer to the Figure 2.4 for total clarity.
39	Q: Development outside local plan and neighbourhood plan development boundaries in rural Dorset
	1: Do you agree with the suggested approach and what it is trying to achieve? Yes 2: Do you agree with the suggested wording? Yes



Minutes of the Neighbourhood Plan Committee Meeting of Alderholt Parish Council held remotely at 7.00pm on 3rd March 2021.

	3: How could the paragraph / policy / section / chapter be amended to reflect your concerns? Policy Dev7 could also specifically list fish farming at the first bullet point.
43	Q: Reuse of buildings outside settlement boundaries
	1: Do you agree with the suggested approach and what it is trying to achieve? Yes 2: Do you agree with the suggested wording? Yes 3: How could the paragraph / policy / section / chapter be amended to reflect your concerns?
51	Q: Meeting the housing need
	1: Do you agree with the suggested approach and what it is trying to achieve? Yes 2: Do you agree with the suggested wording? Yes 3: How could the paragraph / policy / section / chapter be amended to reflect your concerns?
55	Q: Meeting the employment need
	1: Do you agree with the suggested approach and what it is trying to achieve? Yes 2: Do you agree with the suggested wording? Yes 3: How could the paragraph / policy / section / chapter be amended to reflect your concerns?
58	Q: Neighbourhood Planning
	1: Do you have any comments on the approach to establishing housing targets for Neighbourhood Plans? No
	ENVIRONMENT & CLIMATE CHANGE
60	Q: Climate change
	1: What else could the local plan do to mitigate climate change and help people adapt to its effects? Any ideas?
64	Q: Green infrastructure: Strategic approach
	1. Do you agree with the suggested approach and what it is trying to achieve? Yes 2: Do you agree with the suggested wording? Yes except for strengthening point V of policy Env1 3: How could the paragraph / policy / section / chapter be amended to reflect your concerns? Require the wording "long-term" in point V of policy Env1 be strengthened.
76	Q: Habitats and species
	1: Do you agree with the suggested approach and what it is trying to achieve? Yes 2: Do you agree with the suggested wording? Yes 3: How could the paragraph / policy / section / chapter be amended to reflect your concerns?
80	Q: Biodiversity and net gain



Minutes of the Neighbourhood Plan Committee Meeting of Alderholt Parish Council held remotely at 7.00pm on 3rd March 2021.

	<p>1: Do you agree with the suggested approach and what it is trying to achieve? Yes 2: Do you agree with the suggested wording? Yes except for point IV of policy Env3 3: How could the paragraph / policy / section / chapter be amended to reflect your concerns? Point IV of policy Env3 should include the 30 years provision for monitoring and management rather than just “long-term”.</p>
84	Q: Landscape
	<p>1: Do you agree with the suggested approach and what it is trying to achieve? Yes 2: Do you agree with the suggested wording? Yes 3: How could the paragraph / policy / section / chapter be amended to reflect your concerns?</p>
95	Q: Heritage assets
	<p>1: Do you agree with the suggested approach and what it is trying to achieve? Yes 2: Do you agree with the suggested wording? Yes 3: How could the paragraph / policy / section / chapter be amended to reflect your concerns?</p>
97	Q: Geodiversity
	<p>1: Do you agree with the suggested approach and what it is trying to achieve? Yes 2: Do you agree with the suggested wording? Yes 3: How could the paragraph / policy / section / chapter be amended to reflect your concerns?</p>
101	Q: Achieving high quality design
	<p>1: Do you agree with the suggested approach and what it is trying to achieve? Yes 2: Do you agree with the suggested wording? Yes, but policy Env7 should reference the details in Figure 3.5 3: How could the paragraph / policy / section / chapter be amended to reflect your concerns? Include in policy Env7 the wording or at least reference the text in Figure 3.5</p>
104	Landscape and townscape context
	<p>1: Do you agree with the suggested approach and what it is trying to achieve? Yes 2: Do you agree with the suggested wording? Yes – but see below. 3: How could the paragraph / policy / section / chapter be amended to reflect your concerns? Policy Env8 refers to density in point 111 but doesn’t expand upon the importance of amenity space referenced in para 3.9.7. which should be included.</p>
106	Q: Achieving high levels of environmental performance
	<p>1: Do you agree with the suggested approach and what it is trying to achieve? Yes 2: Do you agree with the suggested wording? Yes 3: How could the paragraph / policy / section / chapter be amended to reflect your concerns? Policy Env9 appears rather weak whilst trying to encompass the text within paras 3.10.1 to 3.10.8.</p>
107	Q: Shop fronts and advertisements



Minutes of the Neighbourhood Plan Committee Meeting of Alderholt Parish Council held remotely at 7.00pm on 3rd March 2021.

	<p>1: Do you agree with the suggested approach and what it is trying to achieve? Yes 2: Do you agree with the suggested wording? Yes 3: How could the paragraph / policy / section / chapter be amended to reflect your concerns?</p>
111	Q: Amenity
	<p>1: Do you agree with the suggested approach and what it is trying to achieve? Yes 2: Do you agree with the suggested wording? Yes 3: How could the paragraph / policy / section / chapter be amended to reflect your concerns?</p>
113	Q: Pollution control
	<p>1: Do you agree with the suggested approach and what it is trying to achieve? Yes 2: Do you agree with the suggested wording? Yes 3: How could the paragraph / policy / section / chapter be amended to reflect your concerns? No mention is made to high phosphate levels and the potential eutrophication of rivers eg Avon in Hampshire.</p>
119	Q: Flood Risk
	<p>1: Do you agree with the suggested approach and what it is trying to achieve? Yes 2: Do you agree with the suggested wording? Yes 3: How could the paragraph / policy / section / chapter be amended to reflect your concerns?</p>
124	Q: Sustainable drainage systems (SUDs)
	<p>1: Do you agree with the suggested approach and what it is trying to achieve? Yes 2: Do you agree with the suggested wording? Yes 3: How could the paragraph / policy / section / chapter be amended to reflect your concerns?</p>
127	Q: Land instability
	<p>1: Do you agree with the suggested approach and what it is trying to achieve? Yes 2: Do you agree with the suggested wording? Yes 3: How could the paragraph / policy / section / chapter be amended to reflect your concerns?</p>
130	Q: New built development in Coastal Change Management Areas
	<p>1: Do you agree with the suggested approach and what it is trying to achieve? Yes 2: Do you agree with the suggested wording? Yes 3: How could the paragraph / policy / section / chapter be amended to reflect your concerns?</p>
132	Q: Coastal Erosion
	<p>1: Do you agree with the suggested approach and what it is trying to achieve? Yes 2: Do you agree with the suggested wording? Yes 3: How could the paragraph / policy / section / chapter be amended to reflect your concerns?</p>



Minutes of the Neighbourhood Plan Committee Meeting of Alderholt Parish Council held remotely at 7.00pm on 3rd March 2021.

	HOUSING
135	Q: Housing mix
	1: Do you agree that major residential development sites should provide at least 20% of the homes as accessible and adaptable homes to meet the needs of the elderly and less mobile? Yes
141	Q: Affordable housing
	1: Do you agree that affordable housing should be delivered by developments at different rates across Dorset? Yes 2: Do you agree with the suggested tenure split? Yes
144	Q: Affordable housing exception sites
	1: Do you agree with the suggested approach and what it is trying to achieve? Yes 2: Do you agree with the suggested wording? Yes 3: How could the paragraph / policy / section / chapter be amended to reflect your concerns? Policy Hous3 point 3 should read the site is small scale with the design and character of the scheme appropriate to the location and size of the existing settlement; and
146	Q: Community care hubs
	1: Do you agree with the suggested approach and what it is trying to achieve? Yes 2: Do you agree with the suggested wording? Yes 3: How could the paragraph / policy / section / chapter be amended to reflect your concerns?
148	Q: Specialist purpose built accommodation
	1: Do you agree that specialist purpose built accommodation as extra care should provide affordable housing? Yes 2: Are there any practical limitations to this approach? 3: Are there other approaches that could be taken to deliver for those who cannot afford to pay market rates?
149	Q: Housing for complex dementia and nursing care
	1: Do you agree with the suggested approach and what it is trying to achieve? Yes 2: Do you agree with the suggested wording? Yes 3: How could the paragraph / policy / section / chapter be amended to reflect your concerns?
151	Q: Self build and custom build housing
	1: Do you think the council should identify sites for self / custom build housing? No 2: Can you suggest any additional sites which could be allocated for this purpose? No
154	Q: Second homes



Minutes of the Neighbourhood Plan Committee Meeting of Alderholt Parish Council held remotely at 7.00pm on 3rd March 2021.

	<p>1: What approach do you think the Council should explore to address the pockets of high second home ownership? As this is a very localised issue, any policy to address this situation needs to be undertaken at the lowest level and thus an approach of “principal residence” through neighbourhood plans is the best solution.</p>
156	<p>Q: Isolated homes in the countryside</p> <p>1: Do you agree with the suggested approach and what it is trying to achieve? Yes 2: Do you agree with the suggested wording? Yes 3: How could the paragraph / policy / section / chapter be amended to reflect your concerns?</p>
160	<p>Q: Occupational dwellings</p> <p>1: Do you agree with the suggested approach and what it is trying to achieve? Yes 2: Do you agree with the suggested wording? Yes 3: How could the paragraph / policy / section / chapter be amended to reflect your concerns?</p>
164	<p>Q: Other residential development outside development boundaries</p> <p>1: Do you agree with the suggested approach and what it is trying to achieve? Yes 2: Do you agree with the suggested wording? Yes – but see below 3: How could the paragraph / policy / section / chapter be amended to reflect your concerns? Surely the guidance of a maximum of 50% larger which has been omitted from policy HOUS9 point 1 should be included.</p>
167	<p>Q: The requirement for traveller sites</p> <p>1: Do you agree with the suggested approach and what it is trying to achieve? Yes 2: Do you agree with the suggested wording? Yes 3: How could the paragraph / policy / section / chapter be amended to reflect your concerns?</p>
170	<p>Q: Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showpeople site allocations</p> <p>1: Do you have any comments on the proposed site allocations for Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling showpeople? No</p>
172	<p>Q: Sites for Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showpeople</p> <p>1: Do you agree with the suggested approach and what it is trying to achieve? Yes 2: Do you agree with the suggested wording? Yes 3: How could the paragraph / policy / section / chapter be amended to reflect your concerns?</p>
	ECONOMY
182	<p>Q: Protection of key employment sites</p> <p>1: Should any sites be added or removed from the list of Key Employment Sites in Appendix 6? No</p>



Minutes of the Neighbourhood Plan Committee Meeting of Alderholt Parish Council held remotely at 7.00pm on 3rd March 2021.

186	Q: Protection of other employment sites 1: Do you agree with the suggested approach and what it is trying to achieve? Yes 2: Do you agree with the suggested wording? Yes 3: How could the paragraph / policy / section / chapter be amended to reflect your concerns?
192	Q: Town Centre hierarchy 1: Do you agree with the classification of the centres in the proposed hierarchy? Yes 2: Are there any additional centres that should be included? No
194	Q: Town centre impact assessments 1: Do you agree with the suggested approach and what it is trying to achieve? Yes 2: Do you agree with the suggested wording? Yes 3: How could the paragraph / policy / section / chapter be amended to reflect your concerns?
197	Q: Management of centres, primary shopping areas and markets 1: Do you agree with the suggested approach and what it is trying to achieve? Yes 2: Do you agree with the suggested wording? Yes 3: How could the paragraph / policy / section / chapter be amended to reflect your concerns?
203	Q: Supporting vibrant and attractive tourism 1: Do you agree with the suggested approach and what it is trying to achieve? Yes 2: Do you agree with the suggested wording? Yes 3: How could the paragraph / policy / section / chapter be amended to reflect your concerns?
204	Q: Loss of tourism accommodation 1: Do you agree with the suggested approach and what it is trying to achieve? Yes 2: Do you agree with the suggested wording? Yes 3: How could the paragraph / policy / section / chapter be amended to reflect your concerns?
207	Q: Caravan and camping sites 1: Do you agree with the suggested approach and what it is trying to achieve? Yes 2: Do you agree with the suggested wording? Yes but no mention has been made of “shepherds huts” 3: How could the paragraph / policy / section / chapter be amended to reflect your concerns? Reference to Shepherds Huts has been omitted from all of the text.
209	Q: New agricultural buildings 1: Do you agree with the suggested approach and what it is trying to achieve? Yes 2: Do you agree with the suggested wording? Yes 3: How could the paragraph / policy / section / chapter be amended to reflect your concerns?



Minutes of the Neighbourhood Plan Committee Meeting of Alderholt Parish Council held remotely at 7.00pm on 3rd March 2021.

211	Q: Diversification of land based rural businesses
	1: Do you agree with the suggested approach and what it is trying to achieve? Yes 2: Do you agree with the suggested wording? Yes 3: How could the paragraph / policy / section / chapter be amended to reflect your concerns?
216	Q: Equestrian development
	1: Do you agree with the suggested approach and what it is trying to achieve? Yes 2: Do you agree with the suggested wording? Yes 3: How could the paragraph / policy / section / chapter be amended to reflect your concerns? Text 5.12.11 should mention lighting, and in 5.12.14 which Policy HOUS ? Policy Econ11 1v second bullet should readbuilt of materials that complement the landscape and that are capable of In this way the materials used in a rural environment can be controlled.
	COMMUNITY INFRASTRUCTURE
223	Q: Making sure new development makes suitable provision for community infrastructure
	1: Do you agree with the suggested approach and what it is trying to achieve? Yes 2: Do you agree with the suggested wording? Yes 3: How could the paragraph / policy / section / chapter be amended to reflect your concerns?
226	Q: New or improved local community buildings and structures
	1: Do you agree with the suggested approach and what it is trying to achieve? Yes 2: Do you agree with the suggested wording? Yes 3: How could the paragraph / policy / section / chapter be amended to reflect your concerns? Text 6.3.4 last sentencemay be permitted where its location is accessible to the community it supports.
229	Q: Retention of community buildings and structures
	1: Do you agree with the suggested approach and what it is trying to achieve? Yes 2: Do you agree with the suggested wording? Yes 3: How could the paragraph / policy / section / chapter be amended to reflect your concerns?
235	Q: Recreation, sports facilities and open space
	1: Do you agree with the suggested approach and what it is trying to achieve? Yes 2: Do you agree with the suggested wording? Yes 3: How could the paragraph / policy / section / chapter be amended to reflect your concerns?
236	Q: Hot food takeaway



Minutes of the Neighbourhood Plan Committee Meeting of Alderholt Parish Council held remotely at 7.00pm on 3rd March 2021.

	1: Should the council look to restrict hot food takeaways around areas where children and young people congregate? Yes
238	Q: The provision of education and training facilities
	1: Do you agree with the suggested approach and what it is trying to achieve? Yes 2: Do you agree with the suggested wording? Yes 3: How could the paragraph / policy / section / chapter be amended to reflect your concerns?
240	Q: Creating a safe, efficient and low carbon transport network
	1: Do you agree with the suggested approach and what it is trying to achieve? Yes 2: Do you agree with the suggested wording? Yes 3: How could the paragraph / policy / section / chapter be amended to reflect your concerns?
242	Q: Parking standards in new development
	1: Do you agree with the suggested approach and what it is trying to achieve? Yes 2: Do you agree with the suggested wording? Yes 3: How could the paragraph / policy / section / chapter be amended to reflect your concerns?
244	Q: Provision of infrastructure for electric and other low emission vehicles.
	Do you agree with this proposal Yes
246	Q: Low carbon and renewable energy development
	1: Do you agree with the suggested approach and what it is trying to achieve? Yes 2: Do you agree with the suggested wording? Yes 3: How could the paragraph / policy / section / chapter be amended to reflect your concerns?
247	Q: Wind energy
	1: The locations identified as opportunities for larger scale wind developments are shown on Figure 6.5 and Figure 6.6. Do you support the principle of allocating any of the areas for wind turbines? Yes 2: Are there any planning issues that would need to be resolved to enable community backing to be secured?
251	Q: Small scale wind energy development
	1: Do you agree with the suggested approach and what it is trying to achieve? Yes 2: Do you agree with the suggested wording? Yes 3: How could the paragraph / policy / section / chapter be amended to reflect your concerns?
253	The provision of utilities services infrastructure
	1: The plan requires the provision of full fibre broadband connections to each home on major development sites. Do you agree with this proposal? Yes



**Minutes of the Neighbourhood Plan Committee Meeting of
Alderholt Parish Council held remotely at 7.00pm on 3rd
March 2021.**

--	--



Minutes of the Neighbourhood Plan Committee Meeting of Alderholt Parish Council held remotely at 7.00pm on 3rd March 2021.

Questions from <https://www.dorsetcouncil.gov.uk/planning-buildings-land/planning-policy/dorset-council-local-plan/the-plan/pdfs/dclp-jan-2021-vol-2-south-eastern-dorset.pdf>

Page	Question
7	Q: Green Belt
	1. Do you agree that there is a need to amend the green belt to enable development? Yes
15	Q: Land south of Blandford Road (<i>Corfe Mullen</i>)
	1: Do you agree with the allocation of this site? 2: Is there anything not covered within the policy that should be considered in relation to the site? 3: Are there any community infrastructure needs within the area that should be considered?
17	Q: Land west of Pardy's Hill (<i>Corfe Mullen</i>)
	1: Do you agree with the allocation of this site? 2: Is there anything not covered within the policy that should be considered in relation to the site? 3: Are there any community infrastructure needs within the area that should be considered?
18	Q: Land to the east of Hayward's Lane (<i>Corfe Mullen</i>)
	1: Do you agree with the allocation of this site? 2: Is there anything not covered within the policy that should be considered in relation to the site? 3: Are there any community infrastructure needs within the area that should be considered?
20	Q: Land to the east of Hayward's Lane (<i>Corfe Mullen</i>)
	1: Do you agree with the allocation of this site? 2: Is there anything not covered within the policy that should be considered in relation to the site? 3: Are there any community infrastructure needs within the area that should be considered?
29	Q: Future town centre expansion (<i>Blandford</i>)
	1: Do you agree with the allocation of this site? 2: Is there anything not covered within the policy that should be considered in relation to the site? 3: Are there any community infrastructure needs within the area that should be considered?
35	Q: Land adjacent to Ward's Drove (<i>Blandford</i>)
	1: Do you agree with the allocation of this site? 2: Is there anything not covered within the policy that should be considered in relation to the site?



Minutes of the Neighbourhood Plan Committee Meeting of Alderholt Parish Council held remotely at 7.00pm on 3rd March 2021.

	3: Are there any community infrastructure needs within the area that should be considered?
39	Q: Land north-east of Blandford Forum (<i>Blandford</i>) 1: Do you agree with the allocation of this site? 2: Is there anything not covered within the policy that should be considered in relation to the site? 3: Are there any community infrastructure needs within the area that should be considered?
44	Q: Ferndown town centre (<i>Ferndown & West Parley</i>) 1: Do you agree with the suggested approach and what it is trying to achieve? 2: Do you agree with the suggested wording? 3: How could the paragraph / policy / section / chapter be amended to reflect your concerns?
45	Q: West Parley enhancement scheme (<i>Ferndown & West Parley</i>) 1: Do you agree with the suggested approach and what it is trying to achieve? 2: Do you agree with the suggested wording? 3: How could the paragraph / policy / section / chapter be amended to reflect your concerns?
54	Q: Land at Dudsbury Golf Course (<i>Ferndown & West Parley</i>) 1: Do you agree with the allocation of this site? 2: Is there anything not covered within the policy that should be considered in relation to the site? 3: Are there any community infrastructure needs within the area that should be considered?
55	Q: Land off Angel Lane / north of Ham Lane (<i>Ferndown & West Parley</i>) 1: Do you agree with the allocation of this site? 2: Is there anything not covered within the policy that should be considered in relation to the site? 3: Are there any community infrastructure needs within the area that should be considered?
58	Q: Land west and south of Longham Roundabouts (<i>Ferndown & West Parley</i>) 1: Do you agree with the allocation of this site? 2: Is there anything not covered within the policy that should be considered in relation to the site? 3: Are there any community infrastructure needs within the area that should be considered?
62	Q: Matchams Stadium and House (<i>St Leonards & St Ives</i>) 1: Do you agree with the allocation of this site? 2: Is there anything not covered within the policy that should be considered in relation to the site?



Minutes of the Neighbourhood Plan Committee Meeting of Alderholt Parish Council held remotely at 7.00pm on 3rd March 2021.

	3: Are there any community infrastructure needs within the area that should be considered?
69	Q: Land to the west of Prospect Allotments (Swanage) 1: Do you agree with the allocation of this site? 2: Is there anything not covered within the policy that should be considered in relation to the site? 3: Are there any community infrastructure needs within the area that should be considered?
73	Q: Town centre expansion (Verwood) 1: Do you agree with the suggested approach and what it is trying to achieve? 2: Do you agree with the suggested wording? 3: How could the paragraph / policy / section / chapter be amended to reflect your concerns?
75	Q: Land south of Manor Road (Verwood) 1: Do you agree with the allocation of this site? 2: Is there anything not covered within the policy that should be considered in relation to the site? 3: Are there any community infrastructure needs within the area that should be considered?
80	Q: Wareham town centre strategy (Wareham) 1: Do you agree with the suggested approach and what it is trying to achieve? 2: Do you agree with the suggested wording? 3: How could the paragraph / policy / section / chapter be amended to reflect your concerns?
85	Q: Land North of Azalea Roundabout (West Moors) 1: Do you agree with the allocation of this site? 2: Is there anything not covered within the policy that should be considered in relation to the site? 3: Are there any community infrastructure needs within the area that should be considered?
91	Q: Wimborne Minster town centre strategy (Wimborne Minster and Colehill) 1: Do you agree with the suggested approach and what it is trying to achieve? 2: Do you agree with the suggested wording? 3: How could the paragraph / policy / section / chapter be amended to reflect your concerns?
99	Q: Land at Leigh Farm (Wimborne Minster and Colehill) 1: Do you agree with the allocation of this site? 2: Is there anything not covered within the policy that should be considered in relation to the site?



Minutes of the Neighbourhood Plan Committee Meeting of Alderholt Parish Council held remotely at 7.00pm on 3rd March 2021.

	3: Are there any community infrastructure needs within the area that should be considered?
100	Q: Land at Northleigh Lane (<i>Wimborne Minster and Colehill</i>) 1: Do you agree with the allocation of this site? 2: Is there anything not covered within the policy that should be considered in relation to the site? 3: Are there any community infrastructure needs within the area that should be considered?
101	Q: Land north of Wimborne Road (<i>Wimborne Minster and Colehill</i>) 1: Do you agree with the allocation of this site? 2: Is there anything not covered within the policy that should be considered in relation to the site? 3: Are there any community infrastructure needs within the area that should be considered?
103	Q: Land south of Wimborne Road west (<i>Wimborne Minster and Colehill</i>) 1: Do you agree with the allocation of this site? 2: Is there anything not covered within the policy that should be considered in relation to the site? 3: Are there any community infrastructure needs within the area that should be considered?
112	Q: Options for growth at Alderholt (<i>Alderholt</i>) 1. What level of growth should take place at Alderholt? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Currently there is planning permission passed for 192 new houses in the village and it is our view that this number would satisfy local demand during the period of this plan with only a small number of additional homes added over the period of the plan. This is borne out by the 2017 village survey which shows that 90% of the respondents wanted less than 200 new dwellings. So, Local demand can be achieved by building the 192 houses already approved with further infill contained within the existing village envelope. In addition, it has been confirmed by Hilary Jordan that Dorset can reach its overall target across the County without ANY additional housing in Alderholt! • Any further growth in Alderholt is in complete contravention to the Strategic Priorities as laid out in Vo I 1 Strategy and Topics, Pages 27 and 28 and the statements that say “How the Local Plan will meet this Priority”. Building in Alderholt will not help towards any of these priorities. 2. If Alderholt was to be identified for significant expansion, what improvements would be needed to improve the self-containment of the settlement? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Major Roads improvement would be required to link to the A338 at Harbridge, the A31 at Ringwood and on the B3078 towards Cranborne and down to Verwood. The



Minutes of the Neighbourhood Plan Committee Meeting of Alderholt Parish Council held remotely at 7.00pm on 3rd March 2021.

first two of these would require work in unlikely collaboration with Hampshire Roads Authority. The nearby town of Fordingbridge has development plans for 1700 new homes in Hampshire and they are against large development in Alderholt as it would severely overcrowd the town adversely. Please refer to the responses from Fordingbridge Town Council and The New Forest District Council both of whom are against any major development in Alderholt.

- New schools at all tiers would be required. At present pupils from Alderholt are bused to Burgate, Wimborne or Verwood. All of these schools are already fully subscribed and would need either significant expansion or new schools in all 3 tiers to be provided in Alderholt.
- Local Health provision would be required. At present most villagers are registered with either Fordingbridge or Cranborne Surgery. Both have difficulty in getting Doctors and Fordingbridge, in particular, is concerned whether they can meet the planned growth in Fordingbridge without adding extra pressure with development in Alderholt.
- Local Health provision would be required. At present most villagers are registered with either Fordingbridge or Cranborne Surgery. Both have difficulty in getting Doctors and Fordingbridge, in particular, is concerned whether they can meet the planned growth in Fordingbridge without adding extra pressure with development in Alderholt.
- At present we have a locally funded occasional bus service (3 days per week) which runs outside normal travel to work hours. To be sustainable we would require an 18 hour per day regular bus service to Fordingbridge and Ringwood seven days per week.
- Service Provision. This would require upgrading our water supply and drainage. Added to this would be a requirement for better gas & electricity supply.
- Alderholt currently enjoys poor broadband connection AND poor mobile phone reception. More housing just makes more usage and even poorer reception until major work is carried out.
- Most residents of working age need to travel long distances to their employment which is often not only outside the village but, usually, outside the county. We should not be developing housing to suit employers in neighbouring Counties.
- We currently have only two retail outlets in the village – a Co-op and a 2nd hand children's wear shop. There is also Wolvercroft Nurseries which not only serves the village but attracts customers from fairly long distances. Development in Alderholt would require significant new retail premises selling a wide range of merchandise.

3. Are there any factors that may inhibit the deliverability of significant expansion of Alderholt?



Minutes of the Neighbourhood Plan Committee Meeting of Alderholt Parish Council held remotely at 7.00pm on 3rd March 2021.

- Obtaining any help from Hampshire CC or New Forest would be highly unlikely due to their commitment to their own plans to enlarge Fordingbridge. This is included in their County Plan and would be unable to cooperate with Dorset until that plan has run its course!
- There are more sustainable areas totally within Dorset that would be less expensive, kinder to the environment and closer to established infrastructure and employment – e.g. Dorchester and Crossways.
- We would only get a doctor’s surgery when the population exceeds 20,000. Large scale expansion would add intolerable pressure on both the Fordingbridge and Cranborne surgeries to such an extent that it could well cost lives!
- The land north of Station Road houses several protected species and should not be made available for development.
- Both options 1 & 2 contravene Dorset’s own climate control and toxic emissions regulations.
- *The level of funding required to supply the infrastructure for option 2 renders this development unaffordable and unsustainable.*
- *As Alderholt lies within the catchment of the River Avon Special Area of Conservation any development would have an adverse impact due to the potential eutrophication of the river by increased phosphate levels as a result of development. The Sustainability Appraisal for Alderholt (pages 145 and 146 cite this issue recommending that any new development must be phosphate neutral.*
- *Requirement for extensive areas of SANG to mitigate against adverse impacts on the Dorset and New Forest protected heathlands.*

CONCLUSIONS

Neither of the 2 options in the consultation document is sustainable, deliverable or required and we should request that an option “3” be considered where future development during the period of the plan is limited to those houses already planned with any further approved infill within the existing village envelope.

We support the policies for small (1 to 10 dwellings) rural exception sites for “affordable housing”

We attach the report produced by Jo Witherden (Alderholt and the East Dorset Local Plan Review – February 2020) which contains background information supporting this rejection of both options 1 and 2.

112	Q: Trailway at Alderholt (Alderholt)
	1: Do you agree with the suggested approach and what it is trying to achieve? Yes



Minutes of the Neighbourhood Plan Committee Meeting of Alderholt Parish Council held remotely at 7.00pm on 3rd March 2021.

	<p>2: Do you agree with the suggested wording? Yes</p> <p>3: How could the paragraph / policy / section / chapter be amended to reflect your concerns?</p>
119	<p>Q: Eastern extension to land at Blaney's Corner (<i>Lytchett Matravers and Lytchett Minster</i>)</p> <p>1: Do you agree with the allocation of this site?</p> <p>2: Is there anything not covered within the policy that should be considered in relation to the site?</p> <p>3: Are there any community infrastructure needs within the area that should be considered?</p>
121	<p>Q: Land between Wareham Road and Foxhills Road (<i>Lytchett Matravers and Lytchett Minster</i>)</p> <p>1: Do you agree with the allocation of this site?</p> <p>2: Is there anything not covered within the policy that should be considered in relation to the site?</p> <p>3: Are there any community infrastructure needs within the area that should be considered?</p>
122	<p>Q: Land to the west of Wareham Road (<i>Lytchett Matravers and Lytchett Minster</i>)</p> <p>1: Do you agree with the allocation of this site?</p> <p>2: Is there anything not covered within the policy that should be considered in relation to the site?</p> <p>3: Are there any community infrastructure needs within the area that should be considered?</p>
127	<p>Q: Land at Station Road (<i>Sturminster Marshall</i>)</p> <p>1: Do you agree with the allocation of this site?</p> <p>2: Is there anything not covered within the policy that should be considered in relation to the site?</p> <p>3: Are there any community infrastructure needs within the area that should be considered?</p>
128	<p>Q: Springfield Farm (<i>Sturminster Marshall</i>)</p> <p>1: Do you agree with the allocation of this site?</p> <p>2: Is there anything not covered within the policy that should be considered in relation to the site?</p> <p>3: Are there any community infrastructure needs within the area that should be considered?</p>
129	<p>Q: Sturminster Marshall Golf Course (<i>Sturminster Marshall</i>)</p> <p>1: Do you agree with the allocation of this site?</p> <p>2: Is there anything not covered within the policy that should be considered in relation to the site?</p> <p>3: Are there any community infrastructure needs within the area that should be considered?</p>



**Minutes of the Neighbourhood Plan Committee Meeting of
Alderholt Parish Council held remotely at 7.00pm on 3rd
March 2021.**

137	Q: Land to the west of East Burton (<i>Wool</i>)
	1: Do you agree with the allocation of this site? 2: Is there anything not covered within the policy that should be considered in relation to the site? 3: Are there any community infrastructure needs within the area that should be considered?
138	Q: <i>Wool</i> (<i>Wool</i>)
	1. Do you think that this site should be allocated in addition to the sites proposed to the west of the village?